



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,319	06/21/2005	Hee-Boong Park	DYNE19.001APC	1309
20995	7590	10/14/2010	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			LAMPRECHT, JOEL	
2040 MAIN STREET				
FOURTEENTH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			3737	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/14/2010	ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com  
efiling@kmob.com  
eOAPilot@kmob.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/540,319	PARK, HEE-BOONG	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	JOEL M. LAMPRECHT	3737	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 July 2010.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.                    2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 17-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                         | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ .                                    |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .                        |

## DETAILED ACTION

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 17-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson et al (US 2006/0241423 A1) in view of Shmulewitz (US 5,664,573).

Anderson et al disclose an apparatus for ultrasound examination of a deformable object comprising a support frame (Figure 1, element 108 [0028-0030]), movable means with a flat surface installed in the frame to move forward and backward longitudinally in the frame [0030, 0035, 36], a driving means for moving the movable means, an ultrasonic probe disposed to extend widthwise of the movable means (Fig 2, 4b, [0040-0044]), an ultrasonic wave transmission/reception surface (Fig 4a/b) including gel pads (Fig 8) of the ultrasonic probe flush with upper surface and fixed to the movable means (Fig 4a/b), the moveable means comprising a plurality of links having a flat surface (Fig 4a/b Element 402), and a pair of rollers and supports for the ends of the links, where driving means is supplied and the ultrasonic probe is fixed between two of the links [0039-0042] (Fig 4a/b). The probe is a linear array transducer [0041], the height of the frame can be adjusted (Fig 1), and there also exists pressing means to the height adjusting means to press the deformable object on the flat surface of the movable

means [0037-0040]. Also, a stand exists for supporting the height adjusting means (Fig 1 Element 102, Fig 1 Element 108 [0030]), and a rotational shaft is supplied [0030-0033], having one end supported rotatable by the stand and the other end fixed to a side surface of the height adjusting means [0031]. Anderson et al also disclose a frequency based phased array scanning probe [0041-0043], a height adjusting means for supporting the frame and pressing means fixed to a height adjusting (Figure 1) means for pressing the deformable object placed on the flat surface of the movable means (Figure 2,3), and a stand for supporting the height adjusting means, along with rotational shaft having one end supported rotably by the stand and the other end fixed to the height-adjusting means (Claim 3, [0031-0032]) which is opposite to a side surface of the height adjusting means with the frame installed thereon.

Anderson et al do not disclose the use of an endless loop structure comprising rollers for the transducer, as their transducer uses a drive motor for lateral movement. Attention is directed to the teaching reference by Shmulewitz in the same area of endeavor which discloses a drive track comprising an infinite loop including a linear portion, at least 2 curved portions, rollers, and a planar upper region of the loop structure to allow for transitory scanning of the breast during imaging (Fig 1, 7 Col 6 Line 65- Col 9 Line 12, and Col 9 Line 51-Col 10 Line 43). Shmulewitz discloses an embodiment whereby the compression plate comprises the transducer and the support plate comprises simply a support plate; however, the design of the structure is symmetrical in that the alternative embodiment whereby the support plate comprised the ultrasound system and the compression plate comprises simply a compression plate

would involve a design choice as it is simply a reversal or rearrangement of parts (those parts designed to work in tandem (See figures 1-7)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the endless loop structure of Shmulewitz with the system and methods of Anderson et al for the purpose of allowing for precise proximal and distal positioning of the transducers during examination (Col 10 Line 5-28).

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments filed 7/27/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant has reproduced figures of Shmulewitz to show that the device compresses rather than supports the breast being scanned. Examiner acknowledges that the device of Shmulewitz provides a transducer on a compression plate; however, it is also disclosed therein that the two plates form a symmetrical scan region (Fig 2) and that it is also disclosed (Col 8 Line 30-Col 9 Line 30) that the transducer plate can be constructed of various materials to provide a strong support/compression plate pairing. This symmetrical pairing of support/compression plating provides for a design choice in the art as to which plate acts as a support/compression structure.

### ***Conclusion***

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOEL M. LAMPRECHT whose telephone number is (571)272-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML

/Tse Chen/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3777